

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/440,704	11/16/1999	TOMOKATSU KISHI	1450.1001	3313	
21171	7590 11/06/2002				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STI SUITE 500	·		EISEN, ALEXANDER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/440,704	KISHI ET AL.			
·. ·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Eisen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weber, US Patent No. 5,745,086. Weber discloses a plasma panel, wherein each frame comprises subfields, and having a plurality of scanning and sustain electrodes arranged in parallel to each other, a plurality of addressing electrodes, wherein a reset (set-up) period is a period during which the distribution of wall charges in the plurality of discharge cells is uniform ("standardized"), an addressing period is a period during which wall charges are produced according to display data, and a sustain period during which sustain discharge is induced in the discharge cells. Weber also discloses a method, wherein during a reset period two pulses are applied in which applied voltage varies with time, pulses are alternate in polarity, before first pulse of positive polarity (72) is applied to second electrode (Y_{SA}), a pulse of negative polarity is applied to a first electrode (Y_{SB}) (see FIG. 11), an applied voltage in each first and second pulses is of triangular

Application/Control Number: 09/440,704

Art Unit: 2674

(ramping) wave whose voltage variation per unit time is constant in magnitude. See FIGS. 10-11, column 3, line 52 – column 4, line 47, column 8, line 61 – column 9, line 22 and column 10, lines 33-46. Weber teaches that the method when reset pulses are gradually changing increases the contrast ratio by performing full erasing of cells without application of "bulk erase/bulk write/bulk erase operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Matsumoto et al., ("Matsumoto"), JP 10003281 A. Weber discloses a plasma panel, wherein each frame comprises subfields, and wherein a reset (set-up) period is a period during which the distribution of wall charges in the plurality of discharge cells is uniform ("standardized"), an addressing period is a period during which wall charges are produced according to display data, and a sustain period during which sustain discharge is induced in the discharge cells. Weber also discloses a method, wherein during a reset period two pulses are applied in which applied voltage varies with time, pulses are alternate in polarity. Weber does not discloses that full-surface write discharge and full surface erase discharge are performed only in a specific subfield in each frame, and in the remaining subfields only erasing discharges are performed. Matsumoto teaches a driving method for plasma display, wherein a full-surface

Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

discharge is performed only once per frame in a specific subfield (subfield A), and only erasing pulse Ep is used in the remaining subfields. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use technique of Matsumoto in the display of Weber, because this will improve quality of the display by providing lower black level and therefore increasing a contrast ratio of the display.

6. Claims 3-7, 9, 12-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Tokunaga. Weber discloses a plasma panel, wherein each frame comprises subfields, and wherein a reset (set-up) period is a period during which the distribution of wall charges in the plurality of discharge cells is uniform, an addressing period is a period during which wall charges are produced according to display data, and a sustain period during which sustain discharge is induced in the discharge cells. Weber also discloses a method, wherein during a reset period two pulses are applied in which applied voltage varies with time, pulses are alternate in polarity. Weber does not discloses, however, that erase discharge in each second discharge period is achieved by applying to a first electrode a first erase pulse whose application voltage continuously changes with time in positive direction, and applying to a second electrode a second erase pulse whose application voltage continuously changes with time in a negative direction. In the first discharge period (see FIG. 11) pulses 70 and 72 are respectively applied to a first electrode Y_{SB} and a second electrode Y_{SA} respectively. In the second discharge period the first erase pulse whose voltage is rapidly raised in a positive direction applied to the first electrode Y_{SB} (see FIG. 11 and column 9, lines 16-30) and the second erase pulse whose voltage continuously changes in negative direction is applied to the second electrode Y_{SA}. The only difference is in the shape of the first pulse. Tokunaga teaches a

Application/Control Number: 09/440,704 Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

"soft" erasing technique, wherein reset pulses applied to the first and second electrodes RP_X and RP_Y have long rising/falling time (see FIG. 2, column 4, lines 47-53). This, according to Tokunaga, also improves the contrast of the plasma display. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to improve the method of Weber by the teaching of Tokunaga for the benefit as described above, i.e. improving the contrast.

As to claim 4, as can be seen from FIG. 11 of Weber and from FIG. 2 of Tokunaga, the first and second erase pulse have an adequate width in order to reach their "ultimate" voltages.

As to claims 5 and 13, the rate of changing erase voltages changes as can be seen from Tokunaga, FIG.2 being approximately exponential.

As to claim 6, change rate of erasing voltages in Weber are clearly constant and constitute a ramp.

As to claims 7 and 14, see Weber column9, lines 55-62.

As to claims 9 and 18, in the second erasing period of Weber, the first erase pulse is synchronized with the second erase pulse (74), see also column 9, lines 16-30.

Double Patenting

7. Claims 1, 5 and 6 of this application conflict with claims 1, 5 and 7 of Application No. 09/334623. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all

Art Unit: 2674

but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8 and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hosoi et al., US 6,211,865, discloses a driving apparatus for plasma display having gradual ("gentle") reset pulses controlled with a resistor.

Sasaki et al., JP 11259040, discloses a driving method for plasma display, having whole reset only in a specific subfield of a frame.

Kanazawa et al., US 6,034,482, discloses two reset pulses with changing rate controlled by a resistor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Eisen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone numbers for

·Application/Control Number: 09/440,704

Art Unit: 2674

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Alexander Eisen

November 2, 2002

בקרבינו פתריטים

Page 7

SUPERIOR FARMER COLUMN